## Remarks

In an Office Action dated May 4, 2006, claims 25-28 and 30-34 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,566,045 to Summerfelt et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,774,327 to Park. Claims 36-45 were deemed allowable.

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the proposed combination of references, and particularly requests reconsideration of the Park document as a reference against the pending claims.

The Park document was filed in the United States on November 27, 1996. The subject application claims priority through a divisional application of issued U.S. Patent No. 5,824,563 which was filed on November 17, 1995 to Korean Patent document 95 13694 which was filed on May 29, 1995. (See, e.g., the official filing receipt of the subject application). Thus, the effective U.S. filing date of the subject application is nearly 18 months <u>before</u> the reference date of the Park document. As such, Park is not properly considered a reference.

Applicant believes claims 25-28 and 30-34 properly distinguish over the art of record and are in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted, Volentine, Francos & Whitt, PLLC

Stephen R. Whitt Reg. No. 34,753

Style Whos

Date: August 1, 2006

Volentine, Francos & Whitt, PLLC One Freedom Square 11951 Freedom Drive, Suite 1260 Reston VA 20190

Tel. 571.283.0720 Fax 571.283.0740